




While Applicant believes that the claims are allowable, Applicant does not acquiesce that patentability resides solely in each identified feature or combination of features, exactly as expressed by the Examiner, or that each feature or combination of features identified is required for patentability, or that equivalents of any of the recited features are outside the scope of the claims. Moreover, to the extent the Reasons for Allowance do not separately address the additional subject matter of dependent Claims 60-71, 73-82, 86 and 87, Applicant does not acquiesce to any inference that these

claims do not present patentable subject matter independent of the patentability of
Claims 59, 72, 83, 84 and 85.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: June 15, 2004

By: 
Christopher M. Brock
Reg. No. 27313

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.
P.O. Box 828
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303
(248) 641-1600

CMB:bg